

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Railway Company. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

S. O. Bland and R. G. Bickford, both of Newport News, and Henry Taylor, Jr., of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Frank Armistead and Ashton Dovell, both of Williamsburg, for defendant in error.

## AUSTIN v. SANDERS.

Jan. 24, 1918. Rehearing Denied March 27, 1918.

[95 S. E. 273.]

1. Equity (§ 43\*)—Jurisdiction—Adequate Remedy at Law.—As courts of equity have elementary jurisdiction to render decrees for the value of deficiency in quantity of land sold by the acre, it is immaterial that complainant has an adequate remedy at law.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 171; 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 536.]

2. Vendor and Purchaser (§ 350\*)—Actions—Deficiency—Evidence.

—In an action against a vendor to recover for deficiency in quantity of a parcel of land sold, evidence held insufficient to show any misrepresentations on the part of the vendor, and that all misrepresentations made by the agent who effected the sale and who became a partner of the complainant, the purchaser, in acquiring the property, were made either before he became the agent of the vendor or after he became a partner with the complainant, so that the vendor was in no way responsible.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 552.]

3. Vendor and Purchaser (§ 350\*)—Deficiency—Actions—Evidence.

—In an action for deficiency in quantity of parcel of land sold, evidence held insufficient to show that the sale was made either expressly or impliedly by the acre so as to warrant recovery, notwithstanding the memorandum of the contract of sale stated the parcel of land was supposed to contain 800 acres.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 552.]

Sims, J., dissenting.

Appeal from Circuit Court, Prince William County.

Suit by Frederick R. Austin against Frederick H. Sanders. From a decree dismissing the bill, complainant appeals. Affirmed.

E. Hilton Jackson, of Washington, D. C., and C. A. Sinclair, of Manassas, for appellant.

John M. Johnson, of Alexandria, and Thos. H. Lion, of Manassas, for appellee.

<sup>\*</sup>For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.